

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/767,220		01/30/2004	Stephen C. Vandewinckel	P69450US0	2585
136	7590	07/28/2005		EXAMINER	
	SON HOLN ENTH STRI	MAN PLLC	GORDON, STEPHEN T		
SUITE 6		CEI N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004				3612	
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/767,220	VANDEWINCKEL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen Gordon	3612			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of th	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. Forom the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 i	May 2005.				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) if	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received nts have been received in Appl onty documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	ut(s)					
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) ail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	_	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/767,220 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election of 5-23-05 is noted. In as much as applicant has added a new claim grouping and further through apparent oversight failed to respond to the election of species requirement included in the last office action, the following new requirement is deemed warranted.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-13, drawn to a bed assembly, classified in class 296, subclass 181.7.
 - II. Claims 14-20, drawn to a dump vehicle, classified in class 298, subclass17R+.
 - III. New claims 21-23, drawn to a vehicle and engine, classified in class 280, subclass 29+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least swing out arms per se

Art Unit: 3612

are not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use as a static storage body or in a non-dump vehicle.

- 4. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least swing out arms per se are not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use as a load bed assembly on a towed (i.e. engineless) railcar.
- 5. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least a rectangular bed per se is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a non-dump type transport.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: figure 1 vs figure 2 vs figure 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 14 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3612

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. Due to the complexity of the above restriction/election, the requirement is being submitted to applicant in written form to allow ample time to address the issues raised.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,220

Art Unit: 3612

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Gordon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

stg